UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of Labor,

United States Department of Labor,

Civil Action

File No.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

V.

2331 8th Avenue Corp., A Corporation;

51 East 125th Corp., A Corporation;

YKH Manna Food, INC. A Corporation;

HYO SOON PARK, Individually,

EUGENE GISCOMBE, Individually,

YONG SHIK PARK, Individually and as Officers

:

Defendants.

Plaintiff, ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor, brings this action under Section 17 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq.), ("the Act"), alleging that defendants violated sections 6, 7, 11(c), 15(a)(2), and 15(a)(5) of the Act.

Ι

Jurisdiction of this action is conferred upon the Court by Section 17 of the Act.

II

Defendants, 2331 8th Avenue Corp., 51 East 125th Corp., and YKH MANNA FOOD, INC. are corporations duly organized under the laws of the State of New York having their principal office and place of business at 2331 8th Avenue, New York, New York within the jurisdiction of this court, where defendants are engaged in the retail salad bar business.

III

Defendants HYO SOON PARK, EUGENE GISCOMBE and YONG SHIK PARK, who do business at 2331 8th Avenue, New York, New York within the jurisdiction of this court, are officers of the defendant corporations, in active control and management of defendant corporations, regulate the employment of persons employed by defendant corporations, act directly and indirectly in the interest of defendant corporations in relation to the employees, and are thus employers of the employees within the meaning of section 3(d) of the Act.

IV

Defendants employ employees at their places of business in the activities of an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, including employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce. The enterprise has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done in an amount not less than \$500,000.00. Therefore, the employees are employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of section 3(s)(1)(A) of the Act.

V

Defendants in many workweeks have violated the provisions of sections 6 and 15(a)(2) of the Act by employing many of their employees at rates less than those which are applicable under Section 6 of the Act. Therefore, defendants are liable for unpaid minimum wage compensation and prejudgment interest on the unpaid minimum wage compensation under section 17 of the Act.

VI

Defendants in many workweeks have violated the provisions of sections 7 and 15(a)(2) of the Act by employing many of their employees for workweeks longer than those prescribed in section 7 of the Act without compensating the employees for their employment in excess of the prescribed hours at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rates at which they were employed. Therefore, defendants are liable for unpaid overtime compensation and prejudgment interest on the unpaid overtime compensation under section 17 of the Act.

VII

Defendants have violated the provisions of sections 11(c) and 15(a)(5) of the Act, in that defendants failed to make, keep, and preserve adequate and accurate records of their employees and of the wages, hours, and other conditions of employment which they maintained as prescribed by the Regulations issued and found at 29 CFR Part 516; more specifically, the records kept by the defendants failed to show adequately and accurately, among other things, the hours worked each workday, the total hours worked each workweek, the regular rate of pay, the basis upon which wages were paid, the total straight-time earnings for each workweek, and/or the total overtime compensation for each workweek, with respect to many of their employees.

VIII

Defendants since May 4, 2004 have violated the provisions of the Act as alleged above.

WHEREFORE, cause having been shown, plaintiff prays for judgment against defendants providing the following relief:

(1) For an injunction issued pursuant to section 17 of the Act permanently restraining defendants, their officers, agents, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation

with defendant, from violating the provisions of sections 6, 7, 11(c), 15(a)(2) and 15(a)(5) of the

Act; and

(2) For an injunction issued pursuant to section 17 of the Act restraining defendants,

their officers, agents, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with

defendants, from withholding the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation

found due defendants' employees and prejudgment interest computed at the underpayment rate

established by the Secretary of Treasury pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §6621; and

(3) For an order awarding plaintiff the costs, fees and other expenses of this action;

and

(4) For an order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and

appropriate.

DATED: October

, 2006

New York, New York

HOWARD M. RADZELY

Solicitor of Labor

PATRICIA M. RODENHAUSEN

Regional Solicitor

DOUGLAS WEINER

Senior Trial Attorney

DW (1965)

U.S. Department of Labor,

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

4